

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No.: 2:23-cv-00976-JAD-EJY

Michael D. Woodfall,

Plaintiff

v.

State of Nevada, et al.,

Defendant

**Order Adopting Report & Recommendation
and Dismissing Action**

[ECF No. 12]

On June 28, 2023, the court denied plaintiff Michael Woodfall's *in forma pauperis* application because he used the wrong form, and Woodfall was given a month to either file the correct form or pay the \$402 filing fee.¹ The copy of that order mailed to Woodfall was returned as undeliverable.² So on July 20, 2023, the court issued an order instructing Woodfall to update his address.³ It, too, was returned.⁴ On August 28, 2023, the magistrate judge directed Woodfall to show cause on why the matter should not be dismissed for failing to comply with the June 28th and July 20th orders by September 22, 2023.⁵ That order was returned as undeliverable also.⁶

When Woodfall did not update his address and filed nothing by that deadline, the magistrate judge entered a report and recommendation that this case be dismissed.⁷ Woodfall had 14 days to object, and he neither filed objections nor moved to extend the deadline to do so.

¹ ECF No. 3.

² ECF No. 5; ECF No. 6.

³ ECF No. 7.

⁴ ECF No. 8; ECF No. 9.

⁵ ECF No. 10.

⁶ ECF No. 11.

⁷ ECF No. 12.

1 “[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation unless objections
2 are filed.”⁸ Having reviewed the R&R, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation
4 **[ECF No. 12] is ADOPTED** in its entirety. This action **is DISMISSED** without prejudice, and
5 the Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE THIS CASE**.

6
7 
8 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
9 October 24, 2023
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 ⁸ *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).